THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF STEEL (SHRI SONTOSH MOHAN DEV): (a) No complaint against selecrion of General Manager in National Mineral Development Corporation Limited (NMDCL) has been received.

(b) to (d) Do not arise.

construction of Roads from Damoder River to Bokaro Steel City

2207. SHRI MD. SALIM: Will the Minister of STEEL be pleased to state;

(a) whether Government are aware that the scheme to construct road from the Damodar river to Bokaro Steel City via Maheshpur Pochouri under peripheral development is pending for years; if so, the details thereof;

(d) whether this has been included for the year 1994;and

(c) whether there was a scheme to construct "road from Telomocho bridge ge to Bokaro Steel City via Sector XI; if so, the details giving the approximate cost to be incurred and steps taken foy Government thereon?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF STEEL (SHRI SONTOSH MOHAN DEV): (a) and (b) There is no scheme to construct a road from the Damodar River to Bokaro Steel City via Maheshpur Pochouri under the peripheral deve lopment department of Bokaro Steel Plant.

(c) Yes, Sir. There is a scheme to construct road from Telomocho bridgr to Bokaro Steel City via Sector-XI. The approximate cost of the scheme including the cDst of a bridge falling on the road alignment is estimated at Rs. 3.5 crores. Bokaro Steel Plant (is plannRhg to execute this scheme at its own costs after obtaining requisite approvals,

Peripheral development in' Bob Steel Plant

2208. SHRI MD. SALIM; Will Minister of STEEL be plesed state;

(a) whether there is any Conn tee to consider schemes for the p pheral development in Bokaro S Limited; if so, the details therof ving the names of the members co tinning the Committee;

(b) whether there is any plan include people's representatives the Committee to monitor the pi pheral development work; and

(c) if so, the details therof?

THE MINISTER OF STATE THE MINISTRY OF STEEL (SI SONTOSH MOHAN DEV): (a) (c) Yes, Sir. Bokaro Steel PI (BSL) has constituted a Periphe Development Coordination Comr tee comprising both Official and £ Official Members to plan, recomms and review the various perighc development activities. The det: of the Members are as follows:—

I. Official Members (Bokaro St Plant)

1. Deputy General Manage] Town Services.

2. Chief Engineer (Civil)

3. Deputy Town Administra (Engg. peripheral development)

4. Deputy Chief Personi Manager (A scheduled tribe offi nominated as a member of Committee).

II. Non Official Members

1. Shrimati Rita Verma—Lo Member of Parliament.

2. Shri Samresh Singh—Lo M.L.A.

3. Shri Rajendra Singh—M. LA. Bermo

4. S.D.O., Chas

5. B.D.O., Chas

6. Representaive of Lions Club

7. Representative of Rotary Club

8. Representative of Mahila Sa-miti, Bokaro Steel City.

9. Representative of Chamber of Commerce & Industries, Chas.

10. Representative of Bhara* Re-fractort'es Limited.

11. Representative of BIADA (Bokaro Industrial Area Develop. merit Authority, Bokaro Steel City)

1?. Secretary, Chas Agriculture Marketing Committee.

Quality of coking coal supplied to Steel Plants

2209. SHRI PARMESHWAR KUMAR AGARWALLA: Will the Minister of STEEL be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that quality of coking coal supplied to steel plants has deteriorated over the years;

(to) whether it is also a fact that there has been a fluctuation in the supply of even this deteriorated coking coal;

(c) whether it is also a fact that CIL has not been able to meet the demand of the required quality and quantity of coking coal in view of which a large quantity of coking coal valued in thousands of rupees had to be imported;

(d) whether it is also a fact that in view of this Government would consider supply of good quality coking coal by development of Joint Sector from indigenous production; and

(e) if so, by when and if not, the reasons thereof?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF STEEL (SHRI' SONTOSH MOHAN DEV): (a) to (c) Yes, Sir.

(d) and (e) Steel Authority of India Limited have identified a few coal bearing areas which could be exploited in Joint Sector. The matter has been referred to the Ministry of Coal.

Turnover of SAIL

2210. SHRI PARMESHWAR KUMAR AGARWALLA: Will the Minister of STEEL be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the turu over of the SAIL has increased from Rs. 7300 crore in 1988-89 to Rs. 11300 crore during 1992-93;

(b) if so, whether this is due to the increase in the quantum of the product or as a result of increase in the price from time to time during this period; and

(c) what is the total quantity of products of the SAIL during this period and the price charged and the total realisation made from these products year-wise and product-wise details?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF STEEL (SHRI SONTOSH MOHAN DEV): (a) Yes, Sir. The turnover of Steel Authority of India Ltd. (SAIL (in-cluding subsidiaries) has increased from Rs. 7315 crores in 1988-89 to Rs. 11320 crores daring 1992-93;

(b) The increase in turnover was on account of the factors, among others higher volume of sales, better product-mix, revision of iron and steel prices inclusive of output levies like excise duty, contribution to Engineering Goods Export Assistance Fund etc.

(c) It is not in the commercial interest of SAIL to give prices charged